IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.470 OF 2005

Nana Sandu Mokal ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.Naveen Chomal, for the Applicant.

Mr.V.B. Konde Deshmukh, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

23rd February 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Learned A.P.P. fairly accepts that the only evidence to implicate the Applicant is the statement of the co-accused naming the Applicant being associate at the relevant time. As there is no other evidence to corroborate that position, the statement of the co-accused will have no

evidentiary value. If it is so, the Applicant deserves to be granted bail on the following conditions.

(A) The Applicant is granted bail in connection with CR.No.I-156/2004 registered with Nashik Road Police Station on his executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand only) with two local sureties in the like amount.

(B) The Applicant shall regularly report to the investigating officer once in a month on first Saturday of every English calendar month during the pendency of the trial between 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

(C) The Applicant shall not tamper the prosecution evidence nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses in any manner whatsoever.

(D) The Applicant shall co-operate with the trial Court for early disposal of the

trial. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is in any manner responsible for the delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)